

Evaluation Nett Meeting KPHVIE Krems 2023


25
Antworten

04:47
Durchschnittliche Zeit für das Ausfüllen

Aktiv
Status


1. The information about the meeting sent out in advance was (0 Punkt)

 Very good	18
 Good	5
 Fair	2
 Poor	0



2. The organisation of the programme (0 Punkt)


 Very good	23
 Good	2
 Fair	0
 Poor	0



3. The usefulness of the educational content (0 Punkt)


60% der Antwortenden (15 von 25) haben diese Frage richtig beantwortet.

● Very good	15	✓
● Good	9	
● Fair	0	
● Poor	1	




4. The relevance of the topics to your work (0 Punkt)

● Very good	15
● Good	9
● Fair	1
● Poor	0




5. The networking opportunities and useful contacts (0 Punkt)

● Very good	21
● Good	4
● Fair	0
● Poor	0



6. The time frame of the meeting (dates, duration, schedule (0 Punkt) etc.)

● Very good	17
● Good	6
● Fair	2
● Poor	0



7. Comments on the program and/or suggestions for future meetings: (0 Punkt)

12
Antworten

Neueste Antworten


"Perhaps it might be a good idea to allocate more time (a ...
"It was great because of the participants! They were there ...

4 Befragten (33%) antworteten **time for workshops** für diese Frage.

Thanks **Great organisa**
time for workshops
Great **bit long** **meeting**


8. the relevance of the topic (0 Punkt)

● Very good	15
● Good	3
● Fair	0
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	7




9. the usefulness of the discussions for your work (0 Punkt)

● Very good	11
● Good	6
● Fair	0
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	7




10. handling of the questions and discussions (0 Punkt)

● Very good	13
● Good	4
● Fair	0
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	7




11. the format of the workshops (time frame etc.) (0 Punkt)

● Very good	12
● Good	5
● Fair	1
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	6




12. the relevance of the topic (0 Punkt)

● Very good	13
● Good	1
● Fair	0
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	8




13. the usefulness of the discussions for your work (0 Punkt)

● Very good	10
● Good	3
● Fair	1
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	9




14. handling of the questions and discussions (0 Punkt)

● Very good	10
● Good	4
● Fair	0
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	9




15. the format of the workshops (time frame etc.) (0 Punkt)

● Very good	10
● Good	4
● Fair	1
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	9




16. the relevance of the topic (0 Punkt)

● Very good	7
● Good	1
● Fair	2
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	14




17. the usefulness of the discussions for your work (0 Punkt)

● Very good	6
● Good	2
● Fair	2
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	14




18. handling of the questions and discussions (0 Punkt)

● Very good	6
● Good	2
● Fair	2
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	14




19. the format of the workshops (time frame etc.) (0 Punkt)

● Very good	6
● Good	4
● Fair	1
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	13




20. the relevance of the topic (0 Punkt)

● Very good	11
● Good	6
● Fair	0
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	5




21. the usefulness of the discussions for your work (0 Punkt)

● Very good	7
● Good	8
● Fair	2
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	5




22. handling of the questions and discussions (0 Punkt)

● Very good	9
● Good	6
● Fair	2
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	5



23. the format of the workshops (time frame etc.) (0 Punkt)

● Very good	7
● Good	8
● Fair	2
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	6



24. Comments on the workshops and/or ideas for future workshops: (0 Punkt)

8
Antworten

Neueste Antworten

"Fewer workshops and more time:-)"

"Maybe a workshop were participants design (per exampl..."


6 Befragten (75%) antworteten **workshops** für diese Frage.



25. the hotel facilities and accommodation in relation to location/price/quality

(0 Punkt)

● Very good	12
● Good	10
● Fair	2
● Poor	0



26. I stayed in Hotel (0 Punkt)

22
Antworten

Neueste Antworten


"Aigne"

"Gasthof Weißes Rössl"


6 Befragten (27%) antworteten **Parkhotel** für diese Frage.

den Linden Park Hotel privat apartme
 Gasthof Weißes **Parkhotel** Orange V
 Aigner Gastehaus Weingut A
 Gästehaus Aigner Park Krems Smile Appartme


27. the orientation information on the meeting facilities (0 Punkt)



28. the quality of the meeting rooms and facilities (0 Punkt)




29. the food and beverages served during the meeting (on campus) (0 Punkt)



30. the food and beverages served during the meeting (Wednesday: Heuriger)

(0 Punkt)


● very good	18
● Good	5
● Fair	0
● Poor	0



31. the food and beverages served during the meeting (Thursday: Dinner and dance)


(0 Punkt)

● very good	19
● Good	5
● Fair	0
● Poor	0




32. cultural program (museum) (0 Punkt)

● very good	6
● Good	2
● Fair	0
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	16



33. cultural program (Wachau) (0 Punkt)

● very good	6
● Good	3
● Fair	0
● Poor	0
● I did not participate	15



34. Comments on the facilities and/or suggestions for future meetings: (0 Punkt)

6
Antworten

Neueste Antworten
"I had booked accommodation on my own. "

1 Befragten (**17%**) antworteten **answers from the hotels** für diese Frage.

REQUESTS and forms accommodation
colleagues of Krems KPH team
comments answers from the hotels of
EVALUATION REQUESTS contact with the hotels
difficulties to ge